



Plattsburgh, New York

Building & Zoning Dept.
41 City Hall Place
Plattsburgh, New York 12901
Ph: 518-563-7707
Fax: 518-563-6426

Plattsburgh

 USE
CLASS A VARIANCE

 AREA
CLASS B VARIANCE

 SUP
SPECIAL USE PERMIT

Date: 5/15/2022

Appeal No 2317

An application is hereby made to the Zoning Board of Appeals pursuant to the City of Plattsburgh Zoning Ordinance for a variance to allow the property use as herein described.

Applicant: CHRISTOPHER S. PRATT

Applicant's Address: 1307 Fiske Rd CHAZY NY. 12901

Telephone No.: 518-726-0997

Parcel Identification: 091300 207-16-1-32

Location of Request: 22 CORNELIUS STREET PLATTSBURGH NY. 12901

Property Owner: CHRISTOPHER PRATT & BETH PARKER

Request Description: REMOVAL OF EXISTING COVERED PORCH & REPLACING WITH A NEW ONE USING SAME FOOTING AND SQUARE FOOTAGE

Zoning District: B1

Section Appealed: 360-11

Previous Appeal: No.: Date:

Identify Applicant's Right to Apply for Variance:

Ownership: Long Term Lease: Contract To Purchase:

Other (Please Explain):

Applications for Zoning Variances must be accompanied by:
13 copies of existing and proposed site plan plus original application.
13 copies of existing and proposed floor plan.

The Zoning Board of Appeals may impose reasonable conditions and restrictions on the grant of area and use variances provided they are directly related to and incidental to the proposed use of the property. Such conditions shall be consistent with the spirit and intent of the zoning law, and shall be imposed for the purpose of minimizing any adverse impact such variance may have on the neighborhood or community.

Christopher Pratt
Signature (Owner/Applicant)
Christopher Pratt
Print First and Last Name

Lisa M. Beebie
Notary Public

LISA M. BEEBIE
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 01BE8395749
Qualified in Clinton County
Commission expires August 5, 2023

*Signatures other than Property Owner require a Letter of Authorization to Apply.



Building and Zoning Department
41 City Hall Place
Plattsburgh, NY 12901
Ph.: 518 563 7707
Fax: 518-563 6426

Plattsburgh, New York

PROCEDURE IN APPEALING THE ZONING ORDINANCE CLASS B VARIANCE

DEADLINE FOR FILING APPLICATION

MAY 20, 2022

ZONING BOARD MEETING DATE

June 21, 2022 7:00 PM

The Zoning Board of Appeals has been empowered to hear and decide all appeals to the Zoning Ordinance and to do so the Board holds public meetings once a month.

The attached appeal application must be completely filled out and returned to the office for action by the Zoning Board of Appeals at their monthly meeting. The filing fee for said application is as follows:

One and Two-family dwellings -	\$ 50.00
Multiple Dwellings	\$150.00
Commercial Properties	\$150.00

All checks should be made payable to the "City Chamberlain". In order for your appeal to be heard in the same month you apply, the appeal form and fee must be received by this office three weeks prior to the scheduled meeting of the Zoning Board of Appeals. All applicants or their representatives should attend the Zoning Board of Appeals Public Meeting of their appeal to answer any questions the Board may have regarding their request.

In filling out the form, please be specific and supply the Zoning Board of Appeals with all the necessary information requested on the form. If you are requesting a variance from the ordinance, you must detail why the literal enforcement of the ordinance will produce an undue hardship, while the variance requested will adhere to the spirit of the ordinance and do substantial justice. Financial disadvantage to the property is no proof of hardship within the purpose of zoning. In addition to the above, an applicant must submit adequate drawings and a site plan of all requests, which will involve any construction, alterations, or physical change of their property. **THIRTEEN (13) copies of the entire packet including drawings, site plans and the original application are required** (we recommend the plans be approved before the THIRTEEN (13) copies are made).

Before the Zoning Board of Appeals may hear and decide your appeal, this office must first:

1. Publish the request in three successive issues of the Press-Republican newspaper not less than five (5) nor more than ten (10) days before the hearings.
2. Notify, by letter, all adjoining property owners of your request

This office is responsible for implementing the above requirements.

If there are any questions, please contact this office. Thank you for your cooperation.

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

CITY HALL

PLATTSBURGH, NEW YORK 12901

STANDARDS OF PROOF - AREA VARIANCE

A. The applicant for an area variance is not entitled to an area variance unless he has furnished competent proof to satisfy the "practical difficulty standard" and that the variance, if granted will not alter the essential character of the neighborhood.

In order to satisfy the practical difficulty standard, the applicant must prove that the Zoning Ordinance as it applies to his land creates significant economic injury and that the variance, if granted, will not produce a substantial change in the character of the neighborhood. The courts of this state hold that "significant economic injury cannot be established except by dollars and cents proof which includes:

1. The original purchase price of the premises.
2. The current market value of the premises without an area variance.
3. The projected market value of the premises with the variance having been granted."

"Projected market value" in item 3 does not include any cost of valuation for the proposed construction. It includes only the current market value without any variance plus the "value of the right to build." The "value of the right to build" may be said to be the "value of the building permit". How much more valuable is the entire property with a building permit allowing the future construction of the proposed work? Projected market value which includes the value of the work to be constructed will be rejected.

Projected market value can be best shown by the in-person testimony of a real estate appraiser who is present at the hearing. Written appraisals may be less satisfactory because the writer is not present to answer any questions.

The difference between the current market value without the variance and the projected market value with the variance is the amount of economic injury. If the Zoning Board of Appeals determines that this economic injury is significant, then the applicant may be entitled to the area variance.

However, if a town official comes forward at the hearing with testimony establishing that it is important in this particular instance to enforce the Zoning Ordinance as it is written, in order to protect the health, safety and welfare, then the application must be denied. It is important to note that such testimony must be by a town official or someone hired by the town to give the testimony. If the Zoning Board of Appeals agrees with the Town Official that it is important in this case to enforce the Zoning Ordinance as written, then the applicant is not entitled to the area variance, unless he presents further testimony that the enforcement of the ordinance as written will deprive him of any reasonable use of his land. If applicant proves that, then he is entitled to the area variance.

B. The second question to be determined by the Zoning Board of Appeals is whether the the propose will alte the essential character of the neighborhood. Will a substantial detriment to adjoining properties be created? If it will, then the variance may be denied.

C. Other Grounds for Denial:

1. Whether the variance applied for is the minimum variance that is necessary.
2. Is the variance sought one that is merely desirable for the greater enjoyment of the property, as opposed to one that is necessary for continued practical utilization of the premises? (Bielak v. Zoning Board of Appeals, 78 AD 2d 435).

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

CITY HALL

PLATTSBURGH, NEW YORK 12901

-2-

STANDARDS OF PROOF - AREA VARIANCE

3. Is this hardship self-created? An area variance cannot be denied solely on the ground of self-created hardship, but is a factor to be considered.
4. Is the plight of the owner due to personal problems of the owner as opposed to matters dealing with the land or buildings? While an area variance may not be denied solely on this basis, it is a factor to be considered.

Area Variances Standards

The state statutes define area variances as: "the authorization by the zoning board of appeals for the use of land in a manner which is not allowed by dimensional or physical requirements of the applicable zoning regulations."

General City Law, 81-b(1)

The state statutes then go on to provide the zoning board with the standards for granting the area variances;

"(b) In making it's determination, the zoning board shall take into consideration the benefit to the applicant if the variance is granted, as weighed against the detriment to the health, safety and welfare of the neighborhood or community by such grant. In making such determination the board shall also consider:

(1) whether an undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood or a detriment to nearby properties will be created by the granting of the area variance;

(2) whether the benefit sought by the applicant can be achieved by some method feasible for the applicant to pursue, other than an area variance;

(3) whether the requested area variance is substantial;

(4) whether the proposed variance will have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental condition in the neighborhood or district; and

(5) whether the alleged difficulty was self-created; which consideration shall be relevant to the decision of the board of appeals, but shall not necessarily preclude the granting of the area variance.

The board of appeals , in the granting of area variances, shall grant the minimum variance that it shall deem necessary and adequate and at the same time preserve and protect the character of the neighborhood and the health, safety and welfare of the community."

General City Law, 81-b(4)

Area or Dimensional Variances

Area variances may be granted where setback, frontage, lot size or yard requirements of this Code cannot be reasonably met. In making its determination the ZBA shall take into consideration the benefit to the applicant if the variance is granted, as weighed against the detriment to the health, safety and welfare of the neighborhood or community by such grant. In making such determinations the board shall also consider the following criteria:

Please give a written response to each section.

(1) Will an undesirable change be produced to the character of the neighborhood or a detriment to nearby properties be created by the granting of the area variance? *Yes currently the curb side appearance is not very ~~be~~ applying. The remodel would improve the appearance of the downtown area surrounding city monuments & city buildings*

(2) Can the benefit sought by the applicant be achieved by some method, feasible to the applicant to pursue, other than an area variance? *The roof structure is completely rotten and used on salvaged.*

(3) Is the requested area variance substantial? *yes, we have been cited by the code office to repair or fix the damage, which was our intentions upon purchase of ~~same~~ property.*

(4) Will the proposed variance have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood? *our intentions are to replace and repair a eye sore, and ~~to~~ ~~benefit~~ the current structure is water and houses may ~~rot~~ rodents,*

(5) Has the alleged difficulty been self-created?

This information shall be relevant to the decision of the board but shall not necessarily preclude granting of the variance.

we purchased the property 3 years ago with plans to make the exterior appearance better. we currently own 2 properties next door and the appearance was a negative impact. this situation was created prior to new ownership.

Variations

One of the basic powers given by law to a zoning board of appeals is called the "variance" power. The board has the authority to "vary," or modify, the strict letter of a zoning ordinance or local law in cases where this strict interpretation could cause practical difficulties or unnecessary hardships for an individual.

Appeals boards are frequently confronted with requests for variances. There are two types of requests that come before the board, and the standards by which they are judged differ. A use variance is a request to utilize property for a use or activity which is not permitted by the zoning ordinance, and the applicant must demonstrate "unnecessary hardship." An area variance is a request for relief from dimensional standards contained in the zoning ordinance, and it requires a demonstration of "practical difficulty."

The basic standards for determining unnecessary hardship and practical difficulty have been established and refined by the courts in numerous cases.

Use Variance

An individual who wants to utilize property for a use that is not permitted by the zoning ordinance must apply for a use variance. An applicant for a use variance must demonstrate unnecessary hardship by satisfying each of the following three tests:

1. Uniqueness

The applicant must prove that there are certain features or conditions of the land that are not generally applicable throughout the zone AND that these features make it impossible to earn a reasonable return without some adjustment. If the features or conditions are generally applicable throughout the district, a variance should not be granted. In those situations where the difficulty is shared by others, the relief should be accomplished by an amendment to the zoning ordinance, not a variance.

2. Reasonable Return

The applicant must demonstrate an inability to realize a reasonable return under any of the uses permitted by the zoning ordinance. There must be a "dollars and cents" proof of the applicant's inability to realize reasonable return; speculation or qualitative assessment is inadequate.² Failure to realize the highest return is not considered a hardship.

3. Character

The applicant must prove that the requested modification will not change the character or quality of the neighborhood. In addition, the "spirit" of the ordinance or local law should be preserved.

The applicant for a use variance must meet all three tests before the appeals board may grant relief. A use variance should not be granted if the "unnecessary hardship" was created by the applicant. If the appeals board grants a use variance to an applicant who has failed to meet each of the tests, it runs the risk of assuming the function of the legislative body and making a decision contrary to the legislative intent of the zoning ordinance.

An increasing number of use variance requests is often the sign of an "aged" zoning regulation. The appeals board should not circumvent the legislative process by granting use variances. Instead, the appeals board should advise the governing body of the need to reexamine and amend the zoning ordinance.

Area Variance

In the case of an area variance, the applicant is seeking modification of dimensional standards, such as yard requirements, set-back lines, lot coverage, frontage requirements or density regulations, so that the property may be utilized for one of the uses permitted by the zoning ordinance. The appeals board may grant relief if the applicant can demonstrate that strict compliance with the regulations would cause practical difficulty.

The determination of practical difficulty is a three-step process.³

1. First, the applicant must demonstrate that the application of the zoning ordinance to his property causes significant economic injury.
2. Once the applicant has demonstrated economic injury, then the municipality must show that the regulation in question is reasonably related to a legitimate exercise of the police power.
3. Last, assuming the municipality has met its burden of proof, the applicant must demonstrate that the restrictions, as strictly applied in his case, are unrelated to the public health, safety or welfare of the community and that granting the variance will not adversely affect the community.

In making a determination of practical difficulty, the appeals board may consider:⁴

1. How substantial the variation is in relation to the requirement;
2. The potential effect of increased density on available municipal, county and state facilities and services;
3. Whether the variance will cause a substantial change in the character of the neighborhood;
4. Whether the difficulty can feasibly be mitigated by some other method; or
5. Whether the interests of justice will be served in granting the variance.

The appeals board should grant the minimum relief necessary to allow reasonable use of the land in question. Not every applicant for an area variance is automatically entitled to receive relief. Each application should be carefully considered against the requirement for proof of practical difficulty.

Summary

The major difference between a use variance and an area variance involves the use of the property. An area variance results in a modification of physical restrictions so that an allowable use may be established on the property. By contrast, a use variance permits the establishment of a use which is prohibited by the zoning ordinance and the zoning map. It is for this reason that the standards for a use variance are more stringent than the standards established for an area variance.

Frequently, the appeals board is encouraged to make legislative decisions under the guise of use variance requests. The appeals board should exercise caution when confronted with a request for a use variance, and only grant those which meet the tests established for determining unnecessary hardship. The appeals board should resist the inclination to correct deficiencies in the zoning ordinance through the exercise of its variance power. If particular provisions of the zoning ordinance are inappropriate or unjust, the appeals board should recommend that the legislative body (City Council, Town Board, Board of Trustees) take the necessary steps to amend the ordinance or local law.

¹Otto v. Steinhilber, 282 NY 71 (1939), Village of Bronxville v. Francis, 150 NYS 2d 906 (1956); Jayne Estates v. Raynor, 22 NY 2d 417 (1968); Douglaston Civic Association, Inc. v. Klein, 51 NY 2d 963 (1980).

²Fayetteville v. Jarrod, 53 NY 2d 254 (1981).

³Fulling v. Palumbo, 21 NY 2d 30 (1967); National Merrit, Inc. v. West, 41 NY 2d 438 (1977).

⁴Weschberger v. Michalis, 19 Misc 2d 909 (1959).

Department of Planning



Note:

This Tech Memo was prepared by the
publication for municipal governments.

Department of Planning as an informational
It is not intended to be a legal opinion.

617.20
Appendix B
Short Environmental Assessment Form

Instructions for Completing

Part 1 - Project Information. The applicant or project sponsor is responsible for the completion of Part 1. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification. Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information.

Complete all items in Part 1. You may also provide any additional information which you believe will be needed by or useful to the lead agency; attach additional pages as necessary to supplement any item.

Part 1 - Project and Sponsor Information							
<p style="text-align: center; font-size: 1.2em; margin: 0;">Roof Replacement of 22 Cornelia Street</p>							
<p>Name of Action or Project: <u>22 Cornelia Street</u> <u>091300</u> - 000 <u>207.16-1-32</u></p>							
<p>Project Location (describe, and attach a location map):</p>							
<p>Brief Description of Proposed Action: <u>Removal of a existing curved ROOF THAT'S ROTTEN AND UNSAFE. AND Replace with A NEW ONE USING THE SAME FOOTER AND SQUARE FOOTAGE THE ONLY CHANGE FROM THE ORIGINAL WOULD BE ADDING A Downspout TO THE ROOF LINE TO SHED WATER OFF THE STEPS. (PICTURE INCLUDED)</u></p>							
<p>Name of Applicant or Sponsor: <u>CHRISTOPHER S FRAIT</u></p>		<p>Telephone: <u>580-226-0997</u></p>					
<p>Address: <u>1307 Fiske Rd</u> CHAZY</p>		<p>E-Mail: <u>PANTOLOGIST73@yahoo.com</u></p>					
<p>City/PO: <u>CHAZY</u></p>		<p>State: <u>NEW YORK</u></p>	<p>Zip Code: <u>12921</u></p>				
<p>1. Does the proposed action only involve the legislative adoption of a plan, local law, ordinance, administrative rule, or regulation? If Yes, attach a narrative description of the intent of the proposed action and the environmental resources that may be affected in the municipality and proceed to Part 2. If no, continue to question 2.</p>			<table border="1" style="width: 100%; text-align: center;"> <tr> <th style="width: 50%;">NO</th> <th style="width: 50%;">YES</th> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table>	NO	YES	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
NO	YES						
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>						
<p>2. Does the proposed action require a permit, approval or funding from any other governmental Agency? If Yes, list agency(s) name and permit or approval:</p>			<table border="1" style="width: 100%; text-align: center;"> <tr> <th style="width: 50%;">NO</th> <th style="width: 50%;">YES</th> </tr> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table>	NO	YES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
NO	YES						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>						
<p>3. a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action? <u>47.00 x 96.00</u> acres</p> <p>b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed? _____ acres</p> <p>c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor? _____ acres</p>			<p>Lot Dimensions <u>47.00 x 96.00</u></p>				
<p>4. Check all land uses that occur on, adjoining and near the proposed action.</p>							
<p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Urban <input type="checkbox"/> Rural (non-agriculture) <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Commercial <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Residential (suburban) <input type="checkbox"/> Forest <input type="checkbox"/> Agriculture <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify): _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Parkland </p>							

5. Is the proposed action, a. A permitted use under the zoning regulations?	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N/A <input type="checkbox"/>
b. Consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Is the proposed action consistent with the predominant character of the existing built or natural landscape?		NO <input type="checkbox"/>	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
7. Is the site of the proposed action located in, or does it adjoin, a state listed Critical Environmental Area? If Yes, identify: _____		NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	YES <input type="checkbox"/>
8. a. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels?		NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	YES <input type="checkbox"/>
b. Are public transportation service(s) available at or near the site of the proposed action?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. Are any pedestrian accommodations or bicycle routes available on or near site of the proposed action?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Does the proposed action meet or exceed the state energy code requirements? If the proposed action will exceed requirements, describe design features and technologies. _____		NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	YES <input type="checkbox"/>
10. Will the proposed action connect to an existing public/private water supply? If No, describe method for providing potable water: _____		NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	YES <input type="checkbox"/>
11. Will the proposed action connect to existing wastewater utilities? If No, describe method for providing wastewater treatment _____		NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	YES <input type="checkbox"/>
12. a. Does the site contain a structure that is listed on either the State or National Register of Historic Places?		NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	YES <input type="checkbox"/>
b. Is the proposed action located in an archeological sensitive area?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13. a. Does any portion of the site of the proposed action, or lands adjoining the proposed action, contain wetlands or other waterbodies regulated by a federal, state or local agency?		NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	YES <input type="checkbox"/>
b. Would the proposed action physically alter, or encroach into, any existing wetland or waterbody? If Yes, identify the wetland or waterbody and extent of alterations in square feet or acres: _____		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
14. Identify the typical habitat types that occur on, or are likely to be found on the project site. Check all that apply: <input type="checkbox"/> Shoreline <input type="checkbox"/> Forest <input type="checkbox"/> Agricultural/grasslands <input type="checkbox"/> Early mid-successional <input type="checkbox"/> Wetland <input type="checkbox"/> Urban <input type="checkbox"/> Suburban			
15. Does the site of the proposed action contain any species of animal, or associated habitats, listed by the State or Federal government as threatened or endangered?		NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	YES <input type="checkbox"/>
16. Is the project site located in the 100 year flood plain?		NO <input type="checkbox"/>	YES <input type="checkbox"/>
17. Will the proposed action create storm water discharge, either from point or non-point sources? If Yes, a. Will storm water discharges flow to adjacent properties? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> YES		NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	YES <input type="checkbox"/>
b. Will storm water discharges be directed to established conveyance systems (runoff and storm drains)? If Yes, briefly describe: _____		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> YES	

18. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that result in the impoundment of water or other liquids (e.g. retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)? If Yes, explain purpose and size: _____	NO	YES
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed solid waste management facility? If Yes, describe: _____	NO	YES
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
20. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? If Yes, describe: _____	NO	YES
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
I AFFIRM THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE		
Applicant/sponsor name: <u>Christopher S. Pratt</u>	Date: <u>5/16/2022</u>	
Signature: <u>Christopher S. Pratt</u>		

Zoning Board to Fill out. Applicant does not fill out Part 2.

Part 2 - Impact Assessment. The Lead Agency is responsible for the completion of Part 2. Answer all of the following questions in Part 2 using the information contained in Part 1 and other materials submitted by the project sponsor or otherwise available to the reviewer. When answering the questions the reviewer should be guided by the concept "Have my responses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?"

	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
1. Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Will the proposed action impact existing:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
a. public / private water supplies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. public / private wastewater treatment utilities?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of important historic, archaeological, architectural or aesthetic resources?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Will the proposed action result in an adverse change to natural resources (e.g., wetlands, waterbodies, groundwater, air quality, flora and fauna)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
10. Will the proposed action result in an increase in the potential for erosion, flooding or drainage problems?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11. Will the proposed action create a hazard to environmental resources or human health?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Part 3 - Determination of significance. The Lead Agency is responsible for the completion of Part 3. For every question in Part 2 that was answered "moderate to large impact may occur", or if there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action may or will not result in a significant adverse environmental impact, please complete Part 3. Part 3 should, in sufficient detail, identify the impact, including any measures or design elements that have been included by the project sponsor to avoid or reduce impacts. Part 3 should also explain how the lead agency determined that the impact may or will not be significant. Each potential impact should be assessed considering its setting, probability of occurring, duration, irreversibility, geographic scope and magnitude. Also consider the potential for short-term, long-term and cumulative impacts.

<input type="checkbox"/>	Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action may result in one or more potentially large or significant adverse impacts and an environmental impact statement is required.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action will not result in any significant adverse environmental impacts.
Name of Lead Agency	
Date	
Print or Type Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency	
Title of Responsible Officer	
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency	
Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)	

PRINT

Lot Plot for 22 Coenelia Street

VACANT PARKING LOT (SEE CLAUSE)

Property line

Parking Lot

24-26 Coenelia St
Praker / Patti

Praker / Patti

22 Coenelia St
Praker / Patti

Praker / Patti Lot

Property line
North
Property line
Property wall

Property line
Team fence

(West)

5' 11"

5' 11"

Board post / Fence

5' 11"

10' 3"

8' 5"


Drive way

10' 11"

MR. LHOV









Miller Street

Coenelia Street
(South)



24-26 Coenelia St

22 Carrelia Covered Deck Removal & Replacement









Proposed
New Roof
LINE



VIOLATION NOTICE

Owner/Occupant: Christopher S. Platt

22, 24 Colmelia St.
Plattsburgh, NY 12901

Owner/Occupant,

Your property has previously been identified as being in violation of the NY State Property Maintenance Code. The intent of this notice is to provide you with a reminder that these violations must be corrected within the timeline established at an earlier date. The specific violations are circled below:

- Broken/Inoperable windows or doors (Section 304, Subsections 304.13 & 304.18)
- Leaking/Damaged/Tarped roof (Section 304, Sub-section 304.7)
- Rodent/Pest harborage (Section 302, Sub-section 302.5)
- Ⓢ Flaking paint/Deteriorating surface (Section 304, Sub-section 304.2)
- Improperly stored garbage (Section 308)
- Unregistered Motor Vehicles (Section 302, Sub-section 302.8)
- Overgrown grass or weeds (Section 302, Sub-section 302.4)
- Dilapidated/Damaged accessory structure (Section 302, Sub-section 302.7)
- Ⓢ Damaged/Failing porches, decks, stairways & balconies (Section 304, Sub-section 304.10)
- Ⓢ Broken handrails and guards (Section 304, Sub-section 304.12)
- Improperly maintained swimming pool (Section 303, Sub-section 303.1)
- Deteriorated decorative features (Section 304, Sub-section 304.8)
- Chimneys and Towers not properly maintained (Section 304, Sub-section 304.11)
- Sidewalk and Driveways improperly maintained (Section 302, Sub-section 302.3)
- Other: _____

In order to avoid further penalties you must: Please correct the problems that are circled.

A representative of this office will return on 10-25-19 to ensure compliance. If you have any questions or concerns please feel free to contact this office Monday – Friday 8AM to 4PM. Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Building & Zoning Department
41 City Hall Place
Plattsburgh, NY 12901
518-563-7707



JUSTIN

FINAL NOTICE

Owner/Occupant:

22 Cornelia St.
Plattsburgh, NY 12901

Owner/Occupant,

Your property has been previously been identified as being in violation of the NY State Property Maintenance Code. The specific violations are circled below:

- Broken/Inoperable windows or doors (Section 304, Subsections 304.13 & 304.18)
- Leaking/Damaged/Tarped roof (Section 304, Sub-section 304.7)
- Rodent/Pest harborage (Section 302, Sub-section 302.5)
- Flaking paint/Deteriorating surface (Section 304, Sub-section 304.2)
- Improperly stored garbage (Section 308)
- Unregistered Motor Vehicles (Section 302, Sub-section 302.8)
- Overgrown grass or weeds (Section 302, Sub-section 302.4)
- Dilapidated/Damaged accessory structure (Section 302, Sub-section 302.7)
- Damaged/Failing porches, decks, stairways & balconies (Section 304, Sub-section 304.10)
- Broken handrails and guards (Section 304, Sub-section 304.12)
- Improperly maintained swimming pool (Section 303, Sub-section 303.1)
- Deteriorated decorative features (Section 304, Sub-section 304.8)
- Chimneys and Towers not properly maintained (Section 304, Sub-section 304.11)
- Sidewalk and Driveways improperly maintained (Section 302, Sub-section 302.3)
- Other: _____

This is your final violation notice. In order to avoid further penalties you must:

- Repair / Replace deteriorated porch

- consult with code office first for replacement

A representative of this office will return on 8/1/21 to ensure compliance. If you have any questions or concerns please feel free to contact this office Monday – Friday 8AM to 4PM. Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Building & Zoning Department
41 City Hall Place
Plattsburgh, NY 12901
518-563-7707



Property Description Report For: 22 Cornelia St,
Municipality of City of Plattsburgh

No Photo Available

Status: Active
Roll Section: Taxable
Swis: 091300
Tax Map ID #: 207.16-1-32
Property Class: 411 - Apartment
Site: COM 1
In Ag. District: No
Site Property Class: 411 - Apartment
Zoning Code: 03 - R2 general res
Neighborhood Code: 13506 - Mixed Comm
School District: Plattsburgh
Total Assessment: 2021 - Tentative \$184,900
 2020 - \$184,900

Total Acreage/Size: 47 x 96
and Assessment: 2021 - Tentative \$13,700
 2020 - \$13,700
Full Market Value: 2021 - Tentative \$184,900
 2020 - \$184,900
Qualization Rate: ----
Deed Book: 20192
Grid East: 764522

Property Desc:
Deed Page: 99621
Grid North: 2139277

OWNERS

Christopher S Pratt Beth Anne R Parker
 107 Fiske Rd 1307 Fiske Rd
 Chazy NY 12921 Chazy NY 12921

Sales

Sale Date	Price	Property Class	Sale Type	Prior Owner	Value Usable	Arms Length	Add. Parcels	Deed Book and Page
2/27/2019	\$260,000	411 - Apartment	Land & Building	Waldron Corporation, The	Yes	Yes	No	20192/99621
2/28/2005	\$125,000	411 - Apartment	Land & Building	Bleaux, Thomas G	Yes	Yes	No	20051/87436

Utilities

Power Type: Comm/public
Utilities: Electric
Water Supply: Comm/public

Inventory

Overall Eff Year Built: 1996
Overall Grade: Average
Overall Condition: Normal
Overall Desirability: 3

Buildings

C%	Sprinkler%	Alarm%	Elevators	Basement Type	Year Built	Eff Year Built	Condition	Quality	Gross Floor Area (sqft)	Stories
0		100	0	Unfinished		1996	Normal	Average	3301	3

Improvements

Structure	Size	Grade	Condition	Year
Arch-covered	6 x 21	Average	Normal	1980
Drivng-asphlt	540.00 sq ft	Average	Normal	1970

Special Districts for 2021 (Tentative)

Information available for the 2021 roll year.

Special Districts for 2020

Information available for the 2020 roll year.

